

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,663	10/11/2000	Jay A. Alexander	10961066-1	4949
22878	7590 07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
	TECHNOLOGIES	WEST, JEFFREY R		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. P.O. BOX 7599 M/S DL429 LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	''				
Office Action Summary	09/686,663 Examiner	ALEXANDER, JAY A. Art Unit			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Jeffrey R. West	2857			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	L				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ıne 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-29 and 44-65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 and 44-65 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "1412" (Figure 14) and "1504" (Figure 15).
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "1300" (page 59, lines 6-8) and "1200" (page 59, line 10).
- 3. The drawings are objected to because Figure 14 contains two steps labeled "1410". It is suggested that the second step, "Display Dialog Box Through Which Operator Can Select Pulse", be labeled "1404" as described on page 60, line 25.
- 4. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 57, line 20, the "display pulse" is labeled "324" while Figure 3 shows "324" as "measurement statistics".

Page 58, line 18 refers to a "delay control 440" and "time value 432". It is suggested that, in view of Figure 5, that these values be labeled "540" and "532" respectively.

On page 59, line 12, the "pulse data array" is incorrectly labeled "208" instead of "206" as shown in Figure 2.

On page 60, line 14, the steps indicate that processing follows connector C to block "1308" while Figure 13A shows connector C sending processing to block "1310".

On page 62, line 15 indicates that a positive selection advances the process to block "1518" rather than block "1516".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 9, line 5, "media" should be ---median---.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 50 is considered to be vague and indefinite because it recites, "wherein said plurality of pulses comprises all or less of the pulses chosen for analysis by the operator." This limitation is unclear because there is no mention of any "operator" parent claims 44 and 49 and therefore it is unclear to what "the operator" refers.

Further, claim 44 specifies that the process is performed automatically and therefore it is unclear how operator selections can be implemented when the process is operating automatically.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-3, 9, 11, 22, 24, 25, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,222,028 to LaBarre et al.

LaBarre discloses a pulse analysis/management system, including an oscilloscope (column 6, lines 54-58) that obtains a time-varying analog pulse signal (column 3, lines 67-68), digitizes and stores the samples in an acquisition memory during a single acquisition (column 7, lines 7-16 and 24-29) and

Art Unit: 2857

automatically/without operator involvement provides measured characteristics of each of the previously stored plurality of pulses for storage in a searchable data storage array (column 9, lines 44-52) using positive and negative pulse time indications (column 11, lines 33-38). LaBarre also discloses a transition calculator that determines transition signal levels and times at each of one or more transition percentages, wherein each percentage is a percentage of a difference between two signal levels (top and base) having a logical interpretation for comparison (column 9, line 52 to column 10, line 9 and column 12, lines 60-66).

LaBarre also discloses that the measured characteristics stored in a searchable data storage array comprise results of pulse measurements taken of each of the plurality of pulses (i.e. voltage) (column 4, lines 34-49) as well as pulse measurement statistics comprising results of statistical analyses (i.e. mean) of at least one of the pulse measurements (i.e. DC offset) (column 7, line 61 to column 8, line 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1-6, 8-11, 14-17, 19-22, 24-28, 44, 49, 50, 52-57, and 59-65 are rejected

Art Unit: 2857

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,003,248 to Johnson in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,495,168 to de Vries.

Johnson discloses a probability density histogram display for use as a pulse management system including a digital oscilloscope that obtains an analog time-varying pulse signal, buffers and applies the signal to a sampling bridge that samples the input signal in a single acquisition and measures a voltage characteristic of each of the pulses in series before passing the voltage value to a holding circuit and an ADC that digitizes the voltage levels and stores the digitized voltage samples in a memory with each sample uniquely identified by a single digital word identifier (column 3, lines 19-31). Johnson then discloses a means for automatically (without user involvement) using the previously obtained/stored values to form a histogram (column 3, lines 31-37) of a distribution of the number of occurrences that the acquired signal is obtained over a specified time range (column 1, lines 63-68).

Johnson discloses a means for determining one or more modes of the histogram that has a logical interpretation (i.e. digital values stored in the memory indicating the number of occurrences) indicating which signal levels occur most frequently in the histogram (column 4, lines 17-24).

Johnson discloses a transition calculator/data analyzer for determining/measuring the transition signal levels and times at one or more transition percentages, including base and top levels of the pulses, at user-defined and/or 10%, 50%, and 90% levels (column 1, lines 34-45, column 2, line 42, and

Art Unit: 2857

column 4, lines 24-30) through a user interface (column 3, lines 13-15). Johnson also discloses that the memory holding the voltage values is searchable in that the values are searched to determine if a particular amplitude meets a predetermined threshold percentage wherein if the predetermined threshold is reached, the amplitude occurrence is displayed (column 4, lines 34-44).

Johnson also discloses using the method to analyze, and store data from, a plurality of input channels each with corresponding graphs of user-selected pulse waveforms on a single display (column 3, lines 51-54). Figure 4 of Johnson discloses a sine wave in a time-domain having two signal levels producing a corresponding histogram with two peaks "200A" (i.e. bimodal). Further, since the invention of Johnson teaches displaying a plurality of data graphs corresponding to a plurality of input sources, wherein the histogram display for each source is optional (abstract) it is considered inherent that the source must provide some type of indication to indicate to the processing system memory that the histogram is to be calculated and displayed. Johnson also discloses displaying the results of the predetermined and operator defined statistical mode, probability value, and percentage measurements (i.e. operator defined distal and proximal percentage levels) (column 2, line 42 and column 4, lines 17-44).

With respect to claim 17, the limitation requiring that the acquired signal be an alternative mark inversion communication signal that transitions between three signal values, is considered to be an intended use limitation. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between

Art Unit: 2857

the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In the instant case, the structure of Johnson is capable of analyzing an alternative mark inversion communication input signal. Therefore, as understood by one having ordinary skill in the art, and admitted by Applicant on page 27, lines 29-30, the mode finder of Johnson would identify all the modes of the histogram corresponding to the acquired signal, such as three modes for an alternative mark inversions signal. Further, it is considered well known that that an alternate mark inversion signal transitions between three signal values (see the supplied definition AMI).

With respect to claims 52 and 57, Johnson also discloses storing the pulse data as a single digital word data unit in a buffer/database/array (column 3, lines 38-50), having use in implementing oscilloscope applications, wherein the single data unit uniquely identifies each pulse of the acquired signal, the measured amplitude of the pulse, as well as the corresponding time of occurrence with respect to the other pulses indicating the time corresponding to when a (rising-edge) trigger event caused the storage of the signal (column 5, lines 21-30).

With respect to claims 60-62 and 64, the pulse data and digital word identifiers are automatically stored in a sequential order of occurrence in the buffer in response to the initial sampling and conversion of the input signal (column 3, lines 38-50).

As noted above, the invention of Johnson teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while Johnson does teach performing and storing measurements on a plurality of samples it does not explicitly store the sampled data in an acquisition memory before performing pulse measurements for storage in a second memory. Johnson also does teach determining the excursions of the measured data in the histogram but does not explicitly store results of global statistical analysis of the measurements.

De Vries teaches a method of signal analysis employing histograms to establish stable, scaled displays in oscilloscopes by obtaining a time-varying input signal, sampling the and storing the samples in an acquisition memory during a single acquisition (column 2, lines 53-67), generating a plurality of user-predetermined pulse measurements (i.e. amplitudes) of the samples (column 3, lines 9-14 and 35-40) and stores the pulse measurements in a second searchable memory (column 3, lines 1-4) in addition to global pulse measurement statistics (i.e. global max and min) comprising the results of statistical analysis of at least one of the pulse measurements (column 3, lines 51-53).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Johnson to include storing the sampled data in an acquisition memory before performing pulse measurements for storage in a second memory, as taught by de Vries, because the invention of Johnson does supply the input signal to buffering and holding circuitry and does disclose a functionally equivalent method for forming a histogram based upon a plurality of previously-acquired pulse

Art Unit: 2857

measurements thereby allowing the formation of a histogram that provides the number of occurrences of each of a plurality of pulse amplitudes obtained over a time-interval, and, as suggested by de Vries, the combination would have improved efficiency of the acquisition process and allowed for global analysis of the samples once they have all been received by allowing continuous storage of the samples as they are received and subsequent analysis (column 2, line 64 to column 3, line 4).

Further, while the invention of Johnson teaches initial sampling and pulse voltage measurement in one processing structure rather than separate structures, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide this processing step using separate structures (i.e. sampling the input data for storage in a first acquisition memory, followed by measuring the voltage values of the pulse samples for storage in a second searchable memory) since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art (see Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179).

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Johnson to include storing results of global statistical analysis of the measurements, as taught by de Vries, because Johnson does disclose determining maximum excursions and de Vries suggests that the combination would have provided a means for determining maximums as well as for improving the operation of the oscilloscope by performing attenuation or gain setting adjustments (column 3,

Art Unit: 2857

lines 53-67) and for determining the necessary values for calculating the transition levels (column 4, lines 1-9) such as the transition levels of Johnson.

13. Claims 7, 23, 29, 45-48, 51, and 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view de Vries and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,656,060 to Bauernfeind et al.

As noted above, the invention of Johnson and de Vries teaches all the features of the claimed invention except for specifying that the type of pulses (i.e. positive or negative) be inputted before processing.

Bauernfeind teaches a time interval measuring and accumulating device, such as an oscilloscope (column 1, lines 7-9), wherein the user of the oscilloscope specifies the input pulses as either positive or negative pulses before pulse processing occurs (column 2, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Johnson and de Vries to include specifying that the type pulse (i.e. positive or negative) be inputted before processing because Johnson does teach sampling the input data based upon a rising edge of each pulse of the sample clock, and Bauernfeind suggests that the combination would have insured correct counting of a plurality of pulses, such as counting the occurrences of pulses for use in the histogram of Johnson and de Vries, by defining the initialization of the count to occur on the leading or trailing edge as required, as well as allowed for proper triggering and detection of the pulses as known in the art (column 1, lines 30-60).

14. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view de Vries and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,721,958 to Jenkin.

As noted above, the invention of Johnson and de Vries teaches many of the features of the claimed invention including storing, in memory, pulse data with corresponding amplitudes and a number of occurrences of each signal obtained in order to form a histogram, but does not specify that the data be stored in a table.

Jenkin teaches a real-time pulse processor including a counter for counting the occurrences of a particular pulse amplitude (column 16, line 58 to column 17, line 20) and stores the number of occurrences in a table to create a corresponding histogram (column 17, lines 21-26).

Ît would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Johnson and de Vries to include specifying that the pulse data be stored in a table, as taught by Jenkin, because the combination would have provided a method, functionally equivalent to the buffer method of Johnson and de Vries for storing the pulse data using a easily accessible and organized structure as is well-known in the art.

15. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view de Vries and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,410,617 to Kidd et al.

As noted above, the invention of Johnson and de Vries teaches all the features of the claimed invention except for including a smoothing function to identify any of the one or more modes of the histogram.

Kidd teaches a method for adaptively thresholding grayscale image data by obtaining the image data and mapping the data in a histogram, using a look-up table, and incorporating a smoothing function (column 8, lines 37-54) to find peaks in the histogram (column 9, lines 13-15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Johnson and de Vries to include a smoothing function to identify any of the one or more modes of the histogram, as taught by Kidd, because Johnson and de Vries does teach that the peaks of the histogram correspond to the modes of the histogram and Kidd suggests that the combination would have provided better peak/mode detection by removing very small peaks and rapid excursions in the histogram (column 8, lines 64-65).

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 and 44-65 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The following arguments, however, are noted.

Applicant argues that "LaBarre's measurements are raw pulse data (see, LaBarre, col. 4, Ins. 34-45); they do not include 'the results of statistical analysis performed at least one of said pulse measurements'."

Application/Control Number: 09/686,663 Page 14

Art Unit: 2857

The Examiner asserts that while column 4, lines 34-45 does include raw pulse data such as voltage amplitude, this cited section also includes measurement of a DC offset which is a result of statistical analysis (i.e. rolling average/mean) on the pulse measurements (column 7, line 61 to column 8, line 6).

Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- U.S. Patent No. 6,418,386 to Wong-Lam et al. teaches high and low voltage measurement in waveform analysis and teaches well-known max-min and histogram methods.

http://www.erg.abdn.ac.uk/users/gorry/course/phy-pages/ami.html provides the definition of "alternate mark inversion"

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. West whose telephone number is (703)308-1309. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (703)308-1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

Art Unit: 2857

(703)308-7382 for regular communications and (703)308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

jrw July 23, 2004

MARC **S. HOFF^y** SUPER KTORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800